



From the MixCache.com library

SAMPLE COPY

Court, Clergy, and Canon: Religion, Ritual, and the State in Chinese History

MixCache.com

SAMPLE COPY

Table of Contents

- **Introduction**
- **Chapter 1** Mandate and Monastery: Religion and the Making of Imperial Authority
- **Chapter 2** The Three Teachings in Tandem: Doctrinal Interactions and Boundaries
- **Chapter 3** Court Rituals and Sacral Kingship from Han to Tang
- **Chapter 4** Temples as Statecraft: Official Cults, Registrations, and Patronage
- **Chapter 5** Monasteries as Estates: Economy, Land, and Immunities
- **Chapter 6** Educating the Realm: Confucian Curricula, Examinations, and Ritual Learning
- **Chapter 7** Printing, Scripture, and the State: Canons, Edicts, and the Circulation of Texts
- **Chapter 8** Daoist Bureaucracy and Heavenly Administration: Mirrors of the Empire
- **Chapter 9** Buddhist Networks: Vinaya, Ordination Platforms, and Infrastructures of Merit
- **Chapter 10** Local Gods and Popular Religion: City Gods, Earth Gods, and Community Temples
- **Chapter 11** Ancestors and Lineages: Household Rites and the Governance of Kin
- **Chapter 12** Women, Family, and the Gendered Spaces of Piety
- **Chapter 13** Pilgrimage, Festivals, and the Lunar Calendar of Power
- **Chapter 14** Law, Heresy, and Suppression: Policing the Sacred
- **Chapter 15** Charity, Relief, and Moral Exemplarity: Religion in Social Welfare
- **Chapter 16** Rituals of Crisis: Drought, Plague, and State Liturgy
- **Chapter 17** Mediums, Masters, and Magic: Spirit-Writing and Ritual Specialists
- **Chapter 18** Borderlands and Empire: Tibet, Inner Asia, and the Peripheries of Rule
- **Chapter 19** The Song Synthesis: Literati, Reform, and Temple Societies
- **Chapter 20** Ming Regulation and Monastic Reform: From Hongwu to Wanli
- **Chapter 21** Qing Codifications: Sacrifice, Orthodoxy, and Local Society
- **Chapter 22** Encounters with the Modern: Missionaries, Reformers, and New Religions
- **Chapter 23** Revolution and Reconfiguration: Religion and the Twentieth-Century State
- **Chapter 24** Heritage, Tourism, and the Post-Mao Religious Landscape
- **Chapter 25** Continuity and Change: The Public and Private Lives of Religion in China

Introduction

This book asks a simple but far-reaching question: how did religion help make the Chinese state, and how did the state, in turn, make religion? Across two millennia, imperial courts cultivated sacral authority, clerical institutions forged durable networks, and ordinary people animated public life with rites that bridged family, community, and empire. Rather than treat Buddhism, Daoism, Confucianism, and popular religion as isolated traditions, the chapters that follow examine their continual entanglement—doctrinally, ritually, economically, and politically. The aim is to show how temples and monasteries stood not only as sites of devotion but also as engines of education, welfare, law, and culture.

Methodologically, the book pairs close readings of doctrine and canon with case histories from specific locales and periods. By bringing a sutra commentary into conversation with a temple stele, an edict with a festival program, or a liturgical manual with a village contract, we can see how ideas moved through institutions into daily practice—and back again. This approach illuminates religion's public and private roles: how clerics advised rulers and taught morals; how family altars anchored domestic order; how festivals created civic space; and how pilgrimages knit together regions and regimes.

Key terms require brief clarification. "Court" refers to the changing centers of political decision-making—from Han palaces to Qing boards—where rulers articulated cosmic legitimacy. "Clergy" names a plurality of specialists: Buddhist monks and nuns, Daoist priests, liturgical masters, and licensed ritualists; but it also includes Confucian scholar-officials when they operated as ritual authorities and educators. "Canon" encompasses not only the Buddhist Tripitaka, Daoist Daozang, and the Confucian Classics, but also state ritual codes, local gazetteers, moral tracts, and printed almanacs—the textual infrastructures through which authority was taught, contested, and reproduced. "Popular religion" marks a field of practice—spirit mediums, local gods, lineage rites, and life-cycle rituals—rather than a residual category outside doctrine.

The story told here unfolds through moments of collaboration and conflict. Courts endowed temples and established sacrifices to secure Heaven's favor, yet they also taxed, audited, and sometimes suppressed clerical power. Monasteries amassed land and learning that could serve the realm in famine relief or literacy, but they could also rival local officials in wealth and allegiance. Daoist bureaucratic imagination mirrored imperial administration, while Buddhist ordination platforms cultivated transregional norms and charitable infrastructures. Confucian curricula and examinations shaped moral vocabularies that permeated both governance and grassroots practice. Popular

devotion adapted and appropriated elite forms, from canon recitation to festival calendars, giving them new social meanings.

Periodization matters. Early imperial experiments with sacral kingship set precedents later consolidated in the Tang and transformed in the Song, when literati reformers reworked temple economies and ritual life. Ming founders sought to regulate monastic estates and standardize cults, while the Qing codified sacrifice and managed a multiethnic empire with diverse religious ecologies. The modern centuries brought missionary encounters, reform movements, revolutions, and new regimes of heritage and tourism that reconfigured sacred space and authority. Tracing continuity and change across these eras reveals not a linear secularization but a constant renegotiation of what counts as religion, culture, and governance.

Sources allow us to see these dynamics at multiple scales. Stele inscriptions, legal statutes, ordination records, liturgical manuals, hagiographies, gazetteers, festival scripts, and archaeological remains each capture a slice of experience. Read together, they show how ideas about karma, merit, talismans, orthodoxy, filiality, and cosmic order were institutionalized through property, personnel, and print. They also make clear that the lines between “official” and “popular,” or “religious” and “secular,” were often porous by design: a magistrate might serve as ritual patron; a lineage hall might double as a school; a monastery might host famine kitchens or sponsor the carving of a local gazetteer.

Finally, this is a study of practice as much as belief. Rites of mourning and marriage, offerings to city gods and earth gods, public processions and rain prayers, scriptural recitations and spirit-writing—all were technologies for organizing time, space, and social obligation. They trained bodies, transmitted values, and redistributed resources. By following these practices from the court to the countryside, from canons to marketplaces, we can better understand how religion helped people make sense of power and misfortune, virtue and prosperity, life and death.

Court, Clergy, and Canon therefore invites readers to rethink Chinese history as a history of ritual labor and textual governance. The chapters move between doctrinal analysis and lived case studies to show how religious institutions interacted with imperial power, shaped education, and influenced popular culture. In doing so, the book argues that the making of the state was always, in part, the making of a sacred order—and that the vitality of Chinese religion lies in its capacity to translate cosmic visions into the concrete work of institutions and communities.

CHAPTER ONE: Mandate and Monastery — Religion and the Making of Imperial Authority

Long before there was a Chinese empire, there were altars. The earliest communities along the Yellow River and the Wei tributary built raised platforms of rammed earth, offered grain and jade to unseen powers, and burned oracle bones to ask whether the harvest would hold or the river would flood. These were not yet "religious" acts in any self-conscious doctrinal sense. They were simply what one did if one wanted to live in a world that made sense — a world in which the visible rhythms of planting and flood, sickness and recovery, victory and defeat pointed to invisible forces that needed acknowledging. That habit of acknowledgment, repeated across centuries, would become the scaffolding on which the Chinese state was built.

The Shang dynasty, which flourished roughly between the sixteenth and eleventh centuries BCE, left behind the most striking early evidence of a political order held together by ritual. Kings divined by cracking ox scapulae and turtle plastrons with heated bronze pins, then reading the patterns of cracks to determine whether a planned campaign, a sacrifice, or a royal toothache met with ancestral approval. The answers were sometimes carved directly onto the bones, creating a record that modern archaeologists have pieced together into something like a royal diary. What the Shang oracle bones reveal is a court perpetually in conversation with the dead. The ancestors were not abstract principles. They were present, opinionated, and hungry — requiring regular offerings of wine, cattle, and human victims whose bodies were sometimes interred in the same pits as the royal dead.

When the Zhou dynasty displaced the Shang around 1046 BCE, they faced an awkward problem: having just overthrown a legitimate ruling house, they needed to explain why Heaven had transferred its favor. The solution was the doctrine of the Mandate of Heaven, a principle that would echo through Chinese political thought for nearly three thousand years. Heaven, or Tian, was not a personal god in the Western sense but a cosmic moral force that bestowed governance on whoever ruled virtuously and withdrew support when rulers grew corrupt or cruel. The Zhou argued that the Shang had lost the Mandate through decadence, and that the Zhou's own conquest was proof of Heaven's renewed approval. It was a brilliantly self-serving theory, but it contained within it a seed of genuine accountability: any dynasty could, in principle, be unmade by the same celestial logic that had made it.

The Mandate was not merely a political slogan. It had operational consequences. Zhou kings performed elaborate sacrifices at Mount Tai and at the royal ancestral temple, ritually renewing their covenant with Heaven on a regular cycle. These ceremonies

were among the first institutionalized acts of what we might call state religion: publicly funded, hierarchically organized, and understood as essential to the functioning of the polity. If the sacrifices were performed correctly and the omens remained favorable, the harvest would be good, the armies would prevail, and the social order would hold. If something went wrong — a drought, an eclipse, a border rebellion — it was a signal that the relationship between ruler and Heaven had slipped out of alignment, and ritual correction was urgently required.

Confucius, born in 551 BCE in the small state of Lu, inherited this world of ritual and gave it a philosophical makeover. He did not invent the idea that proper ceremony sustained political order; the Zhou aristocracy had been acting on that assumption for centuries. What Confucius did was strip the practice of ritual away from its purely magical or divinatory dimensions and relocate its meaning in human ethics. For him, the rites were not primarily about placating ancestors or reading cracks in bone. They were about forming moral character — in the ruler, yes, but also in the minister, the father, and the son. When a ruler performed the correct ceremonies with genuine reverence, he was not merely going through motions. He was embodying the virtues that made governance legitimate.

This reorientation had enormous long-term consequences. By the time the Warring States period was winding down in the third century BCE, several competing visions of how to organize a unified state were circulating among the literate elite. The Confucians argued for rule through moral example and ritual cultivation. The Legalists, most famously Shang Yang and Han Feizi, argued for rule through law, bureaucracy, and the ruthless concentration of power. The Daoists, drawing on traditions of withdrawal, naturalness, and cosmological speculation, offered a critique of both, insisting that the best ruler governed so lightly that the people barely knew he existed. These were not yet fully formed "religions" in the institutional sense, but they were comprehensive systems of thought that made claims about the nature of the cosmos, the purpose of human life, and the proper relationship between ruler and ruled.

Qin Shi Huang, the first emperor of a unified China in 221 BCE, tilted decisively toward the Legalist program. He standardized weights, measures, and writing. He built roads, burned books, and reportedly buried alive hundreds of Confucian scholars — or so the tradition likes to claim, with the usual allowance for rhetorical exaggeration. His relationship with religion was pragmatic rather than devotional. He sent expeditions to find the immortals and their islands of paradise, consumed mercury in the hope of prolonging his life, and constructed a tomb guarded by a terracotta army that was itself a kind of ritual statement about power extending beyond death. But he did not build a state ideology around sacrifice or cosmic legitimacy in the way that the Zhou or the Han would. His empire was held together by administrative machinery, military force, and terror — a combination that proved spectacularly fragile after his death in 210 BCE.

The Han dynasty, which emerged from the chaos that followed Qin's collapse, learned from the failure. Emperor Gaozu, the former peasant rebel Liu Bang who founded the dynasty in 202 BCE, was not a man of obvious refinement. But he and his successors quickly grasped that legitimacy required more than a strong army. Early Han rulers adopted a syncretic ideology sometimes called Huang-Lao, blending elements of Daoist naturalism with Legalist administrative technique. The emphasis was on minimal governance, low taxes, and letting the people recover after decades of warfare. Daoist ideas about wuwei — ruling through non-interference — provided a convenient philosophical justification for a state that wanted to collect taxes and keep order without overextending itself into the daily lives of its subjects.

The big shift came under Emperor Wu, who reigned from 141 to 87 BCE and is often regarded as the emperor who made Confucianism the official ideology of the Chinese state. The story is more complicated than the standard textbook version suggests. Emperor Wu did not simply decree that Confucianism would be the sole doctrine of the realm. What he did was establish an Imperial Academy, or Taixue, where Confucian classics were taught to prospective officials. He appointed Confucian scholars to key advisory positions. He patronized the compilation and standardization of classical texts. Over time, the examination system that would later become the backbone of Chinese governance began to take shape, selecting men for office on the basis of their mastery of the Confucian canon. Other traditions — Daoist, Legalist, and various strands of what we might call proto-popular religion — were not abolished, but they were gradually pushed away from the center of official life.

Why Confucianism? Partly because it offered a comprehensive framework for social organization that was compatible with centralized bureaucratic rule. Confucian ritual provided a shared language of hierarchy, loyalty, and moral obligation. Confucian education produced literate administrators who could read edicts, draft memorials, and keep records. And Confucian cosmology, with its emphasis on Heaven's mandate and the moral responsibility of the ruler, gave the emperor a vocabulary for claiming supreme authority while simultaneously reminding him of his obligations. It was, in a sense, the perfect ideology for an autocrat who wanted to be held accountable — but only by Heaven, and only through the mediating institution of the scholar-official class.

The architecture of this system was visible in the temples. The Imperial Academy in the Han capital included a Confucian temple — a hall where the spirits of Confucius and his disciples were venerated, and where students performed rituals of homage before beginning their studies. This combination of education and worship was no accident. Learning the classics was itself a ritual act, a form of moral cultivation that was inseparable from the ceremonies that honored the sages. The temple and the classroom were under the same roof, the same authority, the same cosmic logic. That fusion of learning and liturgy would persist, in various forms, for the rest of imperial history.

While Confucianism was consolidating its position in the halls of power, two other traditions were growing in influence, each in its own way and on its own timeline. Buddhism arrived in China sometime during the first century CE, carried along trade routes that connected Central Asia with the Han empire's western frontier. The traditional account credits the emperor Mingdi with dreaming of a golden figure and sending envoys westward to find the teachings of the Buddha. Whether or not the dream actually occurred — and the story has all the hallmarks of a founding legend designed to give imperial Buddhism a respectable pedigree — it is clear that Buddhist monks, texts, and images were filtering into China throughout the first and second centuries. Early converts were often foreigners or marginal figures, and Buddhism was sometimes confused with Daoism by confused observers who could not tell one foreign import from another. But the new religion brought with it ideas that were genuinely novel: detailed theories of karma and rebirth, monastic discipline modeled on an Indian template, and a vision of spiritual liberation that was entirely independent of social status or political favor.

Daoism, meanwhile, was consolidating as a self-conscious religious tradition during much the same period. The Daodejing and the Zhuangzi had circulated for centuries as philosophical texts, but beginning around the second century CE, new movements emerged that organized these ideas into formal religious systems complete with priesthoods, liturgies, and cosmic bureaucracies. The Celestial Masters sect, founded by Zhang Daoling in the 140s CE in the southwestern province of Sichuan, is often considered the first organized Daoist church. It featured a structured hierarchy of priests, a system of parish registration that tracked the spiritual status of every household, and healing rituals that combined confession, moral reform, and appeals to celestial officials. For a brief period during the late Han dynasty, the Celestial Masters even ran a theocratic state in what is now Sichuan and Hanzhong, under the charismatic leadership of Zhang Lu. It was a preview of things to come: the Daoist priesthood acting not merely as a spiritual guide but as a parallel government, administering law, taxation, and public order under the banner of cosmic authority.

The fall of the Han dynasty in 220 CE shattered centralized political authority and plunged China into centuries of division. The Three Kingdoms, the Western and Eastern Jin, and the Northern and Southern Dynasties were periods of relentless warfare, migration, and cultural exchange. But they were also periods of extraordinary religious creativity. Buddhism flourished in the south, where literate elites found in its metaphysics a satisfying alternative — or complement — to Confucian moralism. Monasteries grew wealthy through imperial and aristocratic patronage. Buddhist monks translated sutras on a massive scale, building an enormous textual corpus that rivaled and eventually exceeded the Confucian canon in sheer volume. In the north, where non-Chinese dynasties ruled over mixed populations, Buddhism served as a unifying cultural force — a universal religion that could legitimate conquest and bind diverse peoples together under a single spiritual authority.

Daoism, too, matured during this period. The Celestial Masters tradition continued to develop, and new movements emerged that sought to systematize Daoist thought and practice. Ge Hong, a scholar and alchemist of the fourth century, compiled the *Baopuzi*, a sprawling treatise that mixed practical advice on health and longevity with philosophical speculation about the nature of reality. The *Shangqing*, or Highest Clarity, revelations of the late fourth century introduced an elaborate celestial hierarchy populated by gods, immortals, and bureaucratic functionaries who mirrored the earthly imperial administration in striking detail. In the Daoist cosmos, heaven had its ministries, its departments, its registers of the living and the dead — and a properly ordained Daoist priest could navigate this hierarchy on behalf of patrons, securing blessings, healing illness, and even interceding with the spirits of the departed.

What emerged from the centuries of division was a template for the relationship between religion and the state that would endure for a thousand years. Rulers needed religious legitimacy. Buddhist monks and Daoist priests could provide it, through prophecies, rituals, and the sheer prestige of their institutions. But religious institutions also needed state protection: the right to own land, to receive tax exemptions, to recruit members, and to operate under their own internal laws. The negotiation between these needs — sometimes collaborative, sometimes coercive — was one of the central dynamics of Chinese political history. It was visible in the lavish patronage of Buddhist cave temples at Dunhuang and Yungang, in the establishment of monasteries on state-owned land, in the ordination platforms that certified monks as legitimate members of the Buddhist community, and in the periodic attempts by officials to limit clerical wealth and power.

Even popular religion — the diffuse, local, often oral traditions of gods, ghosts, and spirits venerated in villages and marketplaces — found its place in this emerging order. Local gods were sometimes granted official titles by the court, a practice that began during the Tang dynasty but had its roots in Han-period attempts to systematize the cults of worthy men and regional deities. When an emperor bestowed a title on a local god — making that deity, in effect, a functionary in the celestial bureaucracy — he was extending imperial authority into the realm of the sacred, claiming the power to define what counted as legitimate worship and what did not. It was a subtle form of control, and it worked by coopting rather than suppressing: the local god became a partner of the state, and the community that worshiped that god became embedded in a larger network of ritual and political obligation.

All of these developments — the Mandate of Heaven, the Confucianization of the bureaucracy, the arrival of Buddhism, the institutionalization of Daoism, the regulation of popular cults — were present in seed form during the first several centuries of imperial rule. They did not unfold in isolation. They overlapped, competed, borrowed from one another, and produced hybrid forms that defied neat categorization. A single family might maintain Confucian ancestral tablets at home, visit a Buddhist temple on

festival days, and consult a Daoist priest when a child fell ill. A single ruler might patronize all three traditions simultaneously, not out of confusion but out of a shrewd appreciation that different spiritual technologies served different political purposes. The Confucian classics gave him a vocabulary of virtue and governance. Buddhist ritual gave him access to merit and cosmic protection. Daoist liturgy gave him a vision of the empire as a microcosm of the celestial bureaucracy, with the emperor at the summit of a chain of authority that stretched from the Jade Emperor down to the humblest earth god.

By the end of the period covered in this chapter — roughly the fourth and fifth centuries CE — the basic architecture of religion and the state in China was in place. Temples and monasteries were recognized institutions with legal standing. Clerics were registered, regulated, and sometimes taxed. The court maintained its own ritual specialists, whether they read the Confucian classics or performed Daoist ceremonies. And ordinary people navigated a religious landscape that was eclectic, practical, and deeply entangled with the structures of political power. The centuries that followed would see this architecture elaborated, challenged, and transformed — but the foundations laid in the Han and the Six Dynasties would remain visible, like the buried courses of an ancient wall beneath a modern city, long after the surface had changed beyond recognition.

This is a sample preview. Purchase the book to read the full content.

Visit MixCache.com to purchase the complete book.

SAMPLE COPY