Artisans and Markets: The Social History of Craft and Industry in China

MixCache.com

Table of Contents

Introduction

This book traces the social history of craft and industry in China from the medieval era to the present, asking a deceptively simple question: how did artisans, their guilds, and the urban industries they animated navigate technological change and shifting consumer demand? Rather than treating craft as a static inheritance or as a mere prelude to factory capitalism, the chapters that follow recover workshops as dynamic institutions—sites where techniques were honed, reputations made, and markets cultivated. The result is an economic and cultural account that places artisans at the center of China’s long industrial story.

The vantage point is resolutely ground-level. Workshop studies—porcelain kilns in Jingdezhen, silk-reeling households in the Lower Yangzi, metalworking stalls in urban foundries, carpenters shaping the fabric of expanding cities—anchor our narrative. From these microhistories we scale up to guild regulations, urban associations, and municipal policies that set the terms of competition and cooperation. Apprenticeship contracts, price lists, quality marks, and litigation records reveal how producers disciplined their members, protected secrets, and yet found room for experimentation. In this interplay of rule and improvisation lies the workshop’s capacity to adjust to new tools, new tastes, and new technologies.

Markets appear here not as faceless equilibria but as social terrains. Brokers vouched for credit, shop signs broadcast standards, and guild halls negotiated tax burdens. Artisans pursued strategies that fused culture with commerce: cultivating brand lineages, staging quality demonstrations, or timing production to festival demand. These practices were not static. Waves of technological change—from improved wheels and looms to steam-driven machines and, later, digital platforms—were filtered through skill repertoires and institutional constraints. Some crafts scaled dramatically; others specialized, moving up-market through design, storytelling, and heritage claims.

State power threaded through every phase. Medieval administrations registered artisans and levied obligations; late imperial officials licensed monopolies and mediated inter-guild disputes; Republican reformers experimented with corporatist chambers; socialist planners collectivized skill and reorganized supply chains; reform-era policies reopened space for household industry, township enterprises, and independent studios. At each turn, artisans responded with a repertoire ranging from compliance and adaptation to exit and entrepreneurial reinvention. Understanding these responses clarifies why certain sectors hybridized craft and factory so effectively, while others fractured or faded.

Global connections complicate the picture in productive ways. Foreign demand for porcelain, tea, and silk reshaped domestic workshops centuries before treaty-port machinery arrived. Later, imported tools and managerial ideas met resilient craft ecologies, yielding hybrids rather than simple replacement. In our own time, cross-border design collaborations and online marketplaces have created novel channels where reputation, certification, and influencer culture substitute for the old guild stamp. Yet the underlying problems remain familiar: securing reliable inputs, training skilled labor, signaling quality, and finding margins in competitive markets.

Methodologically, the book fuses economic history and cultural analysis. Prices, wages, and output matter, but so do rituals of initiation, the choreography of the shop floor, and the moral languages artisans used to defend standards or to justify change. By juxtaposing ledgers with lore, we see how norms and narratives shaped investment choices and technological adoption. This approach speaks to economic historians seeking mechanisms of growth and to artisanship enthusiasts interested in the textures of making.

The chapters are organized to move between chronology and theme. Early chapters reconstruct the urban craft worlds of the Song and Yuan and the regulatory innovations of the Ming. Middle chapters examine guild institutions, apprenticeship, toolkits, and market infrastructures that made large-scale craft possible. Later chapters track encounters with mechanization, the politics of labor, socialist reorganization, reform-era revival, and the present-day platform economy. Together they show not a linear march from hand to machine, but a braided history in which craft continually repositions itself—absorbing, resisting, and reimagining technology in step with changing consumers.

CHAPTER ONE: Markets and Workshops in the Song: Urban Artisans and the Rise of Commerce

Any serious account of China's craft traditions has to begin with a paradox: the dynasty that is most often remembered for its cultural refinement and philosophical sophistication was also the one that got elbow-deep in the messy, smoky, noisy business of making things at scale. The Song dynasty, spanning roughly from 960 to 1279, did not invent Chinese craftsmanship. Potters had been throwing vessels for millennia, weavers had been threading silk long before the first Song emperor took the throne, and metalworkers had been casting bronze since the Shang. What the Song did, and did remarkably well, was to place those crafts inside a commercial ecosystem of unprecedented density and ambition. The result was a world in which workshops hummed in the shadow of soaring pagodas, shop signs jostled for attention along canals thick with cargo boats, and artisans found themselves negotiating not just with patrons and officials but with an emerging public of consumers whose tastes were growing more particular by the year.

To understand why the Song matters for the history of craft and industry, one has to appreciate the sheer scale of the urban transformation that took place during these three centuries. The dynasty inherited a fragmented political landscape from the Five Dynasties and Ten Kingdoms period, a stretch of barely half a century in which central authority had largely collapsed and regional strongmen ran their own modest fiefdoms. When Zhao Kuangyin reunified much of China under the Song banner, he did so with a political philosophy that, perhaps ironically, would prove enormously favorable to commerce. The founding emperor and his successors were deeply wary of military power—they had seen what it did to the Tang dynasty—and so they invested instead in civil administration, examination-based bureaucracy, and the kind of governance that made trade possible. Roads were maintained, canals were dredged, and a standardized copper coinage flowed in quantities that would have been unimaginable a generation earlier.

The numbers tell part of the story, though they can obscure as much as they reveal. The Northern Song capital at Kaifeng, straddling the Bian River in what is now Henan province, may have housed upwards of one million inhabitants by the eleventh century. That would make it, by most reasonable estimates, the largest city in the world at the time. When the Jurchen invasion of 1127 forced the court to retreat south, the Song reestablished itself at Lin'an—modern Hangzhou—which rapidly grew into a commercial rival of almost equal magnitude. Hangzhou's population likely reached several hundred thousand, and Marco Polo, visiting decades after the dynasty fell, would call it without hesitation the finest and noblest city in the world. Whether or not

one trusts the Venetian's enthusiasm entirely, the archaeological and documentary record confirms that Song urban centers were among the most densely populated, commercially active, and culturally vibrant cities anywhere on earth.

What made these cities tick was not just the presence of people but the presence of rules—or more precisely, the relaxation of old rules. Under the Tang dynasty, urban commerce had been organized around the so-called fangshi system, in which the city was divided into walled wards (fang) and designated market areas (shi). Shops had to open at a certain hour and close at another. Trading was confined to specific zones. The effect was to keep commercial life visible and legible to the state, which could monitor activity, collect taxes, and suppress disorder from a conveniently centralized grid. By the Song, this system had largely disintegrated. In its place arose a more organic urban fabric in which shops, workshops, teahouses, and residences lined open streets, and markets operated, at least in the larger cities, around the clock.

The abolition of the rigid ward-and-market system was not the result of a single decree. It happened gradually, driven by the sheer pressure of population and trade. As more people crowded into the cities and more goods circulated, the old boundaries became obstacles rather than aids. Night markets sprang up, at first tolerated and then, when officials realized how much revenue they could generate, officially sanctioned. The poet and essayist Meng Yuanlao, writing in the twelfth century after fleeing south, left a vivid account of the Kaifeng night markets in his reminiscence collection, Dreams by the Waking River. He described hawkers selling everything from hot soup and dumplings to lacquerware and secondhand books, their calls and clatter filling the streets until the small hours. Hangzhou, too, was legendary for its nocturnal commerce. The city's West Lake became a site of pleasure boating and lakeside shopping, its restaurants and teahouses illuminated by lanterns that reflected off the water.

It is against this backdrop of urban expansion and commercial deregulation that the Song workshop must be understood. Craft production in the Song occupied a spectrum. At one end stood the imperial workshops, state-funded operations attached to the court that produced luxury goods for the emperor, the aristocracy, and diplomatic purposes. These workshops employed skilled artisans on salary, provided them with raw materials, and subjected their output to exacting quality inspections. The Song court maintained workshops for ceramics, silk weaving, gold and silver work, lacquer, jade carving, and printing, among other specialties. The products that emerged were often technically superb, but they were made to order for a restricted clientele, and the artisans who made them occupied an ambiguous social position—valued for their skill but ultimately servants of the throne.

At the other end of the spectrum, and far more significant in economic terms, were the private and semiprivate workshops that supplied the mass market. These ranged from single-master operations, in which a potter or a weaver worked alongside a handful of

assistants or family members, to larger establishments that employed dozens of workers and operated almost like small factories. The distinction between household labor and workshop labor was not always sharp. In the silk-producing regions of the Lower Yangzi, for instance, women reeled silk thread in their homes as part of a piecework system coordinated by merchants who supplied cocoons and collected the finished thread. This was craft production, but it was also an early form of putting-out industry, in which the merchant controlled the supply chain while the actual work was dispersed across many small households.

Ceramics offer perhaps the most dramatic illustration of the Song commercial revolution at the workshop level. The kilns of the north—at sites in Henan, Hebei, and Shanxi—had been producing utilitarian stoneware and porcelain for centuries, but the Song period saw an explosion of both technical sophistication and market reach. Five kilns in particular came to define the era's aesthetic: Ru, Guan, Ge, Ding, and Jun. Each was associated with a distinctive glaze and form, and each attracted passionate followings among literati and connoisseurs. The Ru ware, with its pale, jade-like celadon glaze and tiny crackle, was produced in extremely small quantities for the imperial court and is today among the rarest and most coveted ceramics in existence. Ding ware, by contrast, was produced on a much larger scale and found its way into the homes of officials, merchants, and even prosperous farmers. Its white or off-white glaze and carved or molded decoration made it a versatile and attractive tableware.

What is striking about Song ceramics is the degree to which production was shaped by market forces. Kilns competed with one another for contracts, for the favor of literati tastemakers, and for the patronage of the imperial court. When a particular style fell out of favor, kilns adapted. When a new technology—a better kiln design, a new glaze formula—emerged, it spread quickly through a network of potters who were in regular contact, if not always friendly contact, with one another. The archaeological record of kiln sites reveals layers of experimentation: wasters, test tiles, and variant glazes that speak of artisans trying new things, sometimes at considerable financial risk.

Textiles constituted another pillar of the Song craft economy. Silk had been China's premier luxury product for over a thousand years, and the Song period saw both its democratization—lower-grade silks became accessible to a broader public—and its industrial scaling. The government operated large silk-weaving workshops in the capital and in major production centers, but private workshops were the real engine of growth. Mulberry cultivation expanded dramatically in the Jiangnan region, feeding a growing population of silkworms whose cocoons were reeled, spun, dyed, and woven into fabrics that were traded across the empire and exported along the maritime Silk Road to Southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent, and beyond. Cotton, which would become increasingly important in later centuries, was still a minor crop during the Song, though it was beginning to attract attention in the warmer, drier regions of the south.

The Song also witnessed the rise of printing as a major craft industry. Woodblock printing had been practiced since the Tang, but the Song saw its transformation from a tool of religious devotion—used primarily for printing Buddhist sutras—into a full-fledged commercial publishing industry. Books on medicine, agriculture, poetry, geography, and statecraft rolled off the presses in enormous quantities. The capital at Kaifeng was a major center of the trade, with shops selling printed works along several major streets. Bi Sheng's invention of movable clay type in the 1040s, though not widely adopted in the Song itself, pointed toward the possibility of even faster and cheaper reproduction. The economic implications were enormous: printed books reduced the cost of knowledge, expanded literacy, and created new markets for paper, ink, and the labor of compositors and press operators.

Metalwork was another significant sector. The Song state maintained an elaborate minting operation to produce the vast quantities of copper cash coins required by its monetized economy. Private foundries produced iron and steel for agricultural tools, weapons, and construction. The famed "Bencao Gangmu" pharmaceutical tradition drew on metalworking techniques for the preparation of mineral medicines, and the decorative metalwork of incense burners, vases, and religious statuary testified to the skill and aesthetic refinement of Song smiths. In several cities, metalworkers formed informal clusters, sharing access to charcoal supplies, bellows technology, and crucible designs.

The organizational infrastructure of Song craft life is harder to reconstruct than its physical remains, but enough survives in official documents, literary descriptions, and legal case records to sketch out the basic contours. Artisans were not a homogeneous class. They ranged from free, independent masters who owned their own workshops and tools, to hired laborers who worked for daily wages, to hereditary artisans attached to state or military workshops who could not easily leave their trade. The state maintained registers of certain categories of artisans, particularly those with specialized skills deemed essential to the court or the military. These artisans owed periodic labor service, a system that dated back to earlier dynasties but that the Song administered with somewhat more flexibility than their predecessors.

Urban governance played a crucial role in shaping the workshop environment. Prefects and county magistrates were responsible for maintaining order, collecting taxes, and regulating markets. They appointed or sanctioned market supervisors (lishi) who oversaw weights and measures, inspected goods for quality, and adjudicated commercial disputes. In some cities, artisans organized themselves into trade-based associations that, while not yet the fully developed guilds of later centuries, provided a framework for collective bargaining, mutual aid, and the management of competition. These proto-guilds were often centered on a particular street, temple, or section of the city, and their members celebrated common patron deities, observed shared feast days, and enforced informal norms about pricing and quality.

The Song market was not simply a place where finished goods were exchanged. It was also a site of information. A merchant buying lacquerware in a Kaifeng shop could examine the maker's stamp, ask about the origin of the raw lacquer, and compare prices with wares from competing workshops. Reputation mattered. A kiln known for consistent quality could command premium prices, while a workshop that cut corners risked not only lost sales but public censure. The development of brands and trademarks, though still embryonic by modern standards, can be traced in the marks stamped on ceramics, the seals pressed into lacquer, and the hall names printed on books.

Consumer culture in the Song was more sophisticated and more visible than in any previous Chinese dynasty. The expansion of a moneyed merchant class created demand not only for luxury goods but for everyday items of better design and more reliable quality. Tea, which had been a luxury in the Tang, became a mass-market beverage in the Song, and with it arose an entire industry of tea ware, tea preparation, and tea culture. Wine, incense, stationery, and cosmetics all experienced similar expansions. The growth of a consumer public that valued aesthetics as well as utility pushed artisans to innovate, to distinguish their products, and to think about design as a competitive strategy rather than an afterthought.

One should not overstate the modernity of the Song economy. For all its dynamism, the Song workshop system operated without the benefit of mechanized power, without factories in the European sense, and without the legal frameworks of intellectual property that would later become central to industrial economies. Innovation was incremental rather than revolutionary, transmitted through face-to-face contact and embodied skill rather than through textbooks or blueprints. The state's relationship with craft was paternalistic and extractive by turns, sometimes nurturing talent and sometimes stifling it through heavy taxation or forced labor levies.

Yet the Song achievement in the realm of craft and commerce cannot be minimized. It was during these centuries that the foundations were laid for much of what would follow in later Chinese economic history. The putting-out system in textiles anticipated the household industries of the Ming and Qing. The competitive kiln culture of the Song anticipated the industrial specialization of Jingdezhen. The proto-guild organizations anticipated the more formalized trade halls and craft associations of later periods. The commercial infrastructure—roads, canals, markets, broker networks—created channels along which goods and skills would flow for centuries to come.

In the chapters that follow, we will trace the evolution of these patterns through the Yuan, Ming, Qing, Republican, and modern periods. But it is in the Song that we first see, with any clarity, the outlines of a society in which making things was not merely a subsistence activity but a source of social identity, economic ambition, and creative

expression. The artisans of the Song did not know they were building a tradition that would last a thousand years. They were too busy meeting deadlines, satisfying inspectors, and arguing about glaze recipes. But that, in the end, is what history is made of.

This is a sample preview. Purchase the book to read the full content.

Visit MixCache.com to purchase the complete book.