SHARING STRICTLY PROHIBITED - For personal use of the licensed account only - See MixCache.com Terms of Use.

From the MixCache.com library

SAMPLE COPY

Sample from MixCache.com - The Online eBook Marketplace

SHARING STRICTLY PROHIBITED - For personal use of the licensed account only - See MixCache.com Terms of Use.

Crisis Currency: Politics, Policy and the Eurozone

MixCache.com

SAMPLE COPY

Sample from MixCache.com - The Online eBook Marketplace

SHARING STRICTLY PROHIBITED - For personal use of the licensed account only - See MixCache.com Terms of Use.

Table of Contents

- **Introduction**
- **Chapter 1** The Pre-Crisis Design: From Maastricht to Monetary Union
- **Chapter 2** Fault Lines Exposed: Global Shock, European Vulnerabilities
- **Chapter 3** North–South Divergence: Structures, Specializations, and Strains
- **Chapter 4** Veto Players and Institutions: Council, Commission, ECB, and the Troika
- **Chapter 5** Ballots and Bond Spreads: Elections Under Market Pressure
- **Chapter 6** The Greek Crucible: Sovereignty, Solidarity, and Default Risk
- **Chapter 7** Ireland's Banking Bust: Private Losses, Public Debts
- **Chapter 8** Portugal's Dilemma: Reform Capacity and Conditionality
- **Chapter 9** Spain's Housing Collapse: Labor Markets and Political Bargains
- **Chapter 10** Cyprus and the Bail-In: Precedent, Fairness, and Contagion
- **Chapter 11** Germany's Ordoliberal Script: Ideas, Interests, and Hegemony
- **Chapter 12** France Between Discipline and Flexibility: The Politics of Compromise
- **Chapter 13** Italy's Chronic Fragilities: Debt, Growth, and Government Turnover
- **Chapter 14** The Political Economy of Austerity: Distribution, Protest, and Power
- **Chapter 15** The ECB's Unconventional Turn: SMP, OMT, QE, and PEPP
- **Chapter 16** Building Firewalls: EFSF, ESM, and the Lender-of-Last-Resort Debate
- **Chapter 17** Banking Union: Supervision, Resolution, and the Missing Backstop
- **Chapter 18** Fiscal Rules Revisited: SGP, Fiscal Compact, and Reform Paths
- **Chapter 19** Parties, Populism, and Euroscepticism: Reshaping the Center–Periphery
- **Chapter 20** Social Consequences: Inequality, Unemployment, and Migration Politics
- **Chapter 21** Parliaments, Courts, and the Democratic Deficit
- **Chapter 22** Risk Sharing vs. Risk Reduction: Eurobonds, Safe Assets, and Capital Markets Union
- **Chapter 23** A Second Test: Pandemic Policy, NGEU, and Fiscal Solidarity
- **Chapter 24** Green and Digital Transitions: Industrial Policy Within EMU
- **Chapter 25** Future Architecture: From Incomplete Union to Resilient Federation?

SAMPLE COPY

Sample from MixCache.com - The Online eBook Marketplace

SHARING STRICTLY PROHIBITED - For personal use of the licensed account only - See MixCache.com Terms of Use.

Introduction

Crisis Currency: Politics, Policy and the Eurozone argues that the Eurozone crisis was never only about mispriced risk or flawed macroeconomic models. It was also, and perhaps primarily, a political conflict about who decides, who pays, and on what terms collective commitments are enforced. The single currency welded together economies with divergent structures, growth models, and political coalitions under institutions that were intentionally limited. When the global financial shock hit, those limits became design features that allocated power, risk, and responsibility in highly asymmetric ways. Understanding why bailouts took the shape they did, why austerity persisted despite profound social costs, and why reforms advanced unevenly requires treating markets and institutions as political arenas rather than neutral mechanisms.

This book combines economic data with political narrative to reveal the institutional and partisan drivers of crisis governance. Quantitatively, we track indicators such as sovereign spreads, current-account positions, bank balance-sheet exposures, unemployment, and inequality to locate pressure points and distributional effects. Qualitatively, we reconstruct decision sequences—cabinet bargains, party caucus debates, parliamentary votes, summit communiqués, and constitutional court rulings—to show how ideas, interests, and institutions interacted in real time. By bringing the numbers into dialogue with the narrative, the analysis avoids a false choice between stylized models and thick description, instead showing how coalitions translated macro constraints into concrete policies.

SAMPLE COPY

A central claim is that the Eurozone's rules did not merely constrain policymakers; they empowered specific actors. The European Central Bank's evolving mandate, the Eurogroup's informality, the Commission's dual role as enforcer and negotiator, and the creation of crisis instruments like the EFSF and ESM all reorganized leverage across member states and within them. National executives often gained discretion vis-à-vis parliaments, while creditor coalitions—anchored in certain fiscal and financial structures—could externalize adjustment. This uneven bargaining power helps explain why similar macro shocks yielded divergent national outcomes and why solidarity, when it emerged, was narrow, conditional, and delayed.

Yet politics did not flow in one direction—from rules to outcomes. Domestic party competition, electoral calendars, media frames, and protest movements fed back into European negotiations, altering both the feasibility and the framing of policy. Governments facing thin majorities or rising challenger parties pushed for visible toughness abroad to secure legitimacy at home, even at the cost of economic coherence. Conversely, moments of institutional entrepreneurship—most notably in the ECB's unconventional interventions—shifted expectations, reduced market panic,

Sample from MixCache.com - The Online eBook Marketplace

SHARING STRICTLY PROHIBITED - For personal use of the licensed account only - See MixCache.com Terms of Use.

and created political space for more accommodative bargains. The crisis was, in short, a battle over narratives as much as numbers: whether the problem was fiscal profligacy or financial fragility, moral hazard or institutional incompleteness.

The social consequences of adjustment were not collateral; they were constitutive of the politics. Austerity's distributional profile mapped onto class, region, age, and sector, reshaping party systems and fueling new cleavages between insiders and outsiders, core and periphery. Migration patterns, youth unemployment, and public-service retrenchment left durable marks on democratic legitimacy. Courts and parliaments confronted questions about sovereignty and accountability that the Maastricht settlement had deferred, while citizens revised their expectations of what a monetary union owes to its members in times of asymmetric shock.

The later chapters extend the analysis beyond the acute phase of the crisis to ongoing monetary governance. Banking union remains incomplete, fiscal rules are under renegotiation, and debates over risk sharing versus risk reduction persist. New shocks—from a pandemic to geopolitical fragmentation and the green and digital transformations—have tested the Eurozone's capacity to learn. Initiatives such as large-scale asset purchases and common fiscal instruments signaled a willingness to innovate, but they also revived old concerns about ordoliberal norms, state-aid regimes, and the boundaries of technocratic authority. The unresolved tensions of the first crisis continue to shape policy choices in the present.

SAMPLE COPY

For policymakers, the book offers a framework for crisis management that couples credible backstops with democratic buy-in: speed without secrecy, solidarity with conditionality that targets solvency rather than imposing across-the-board retrenchment, and rules that are both predictable and revisable. For scholars, it contributes to debates on institutional design, intergovernmental bargaining, central bank politics, and the political economy of adjustment. Across twenty-five chapters, we show how the Eurozone's architecture channels power, why partisan alignments matter for macro outcomes, and how legitimacy can be rebuilt not by retreating from integration but by completing it on more resilient, transparent, and socially balanced terms.

The pages that follow are not a morality play. They are an effort to explain how rational actors, bounded by rules and driven by ideas, navigated a high-stakes experiment in shared sovereignty. By tracing the choices made—and the choices foregone—we aim to clarify the trade-offs that any future monetary architecture must confront: between flexibility and commitment, national democracy and supranational capacity, market discipline and collective insurance. Crisis may have forged the euro's present; politics will determine its future.

Sample from MixCache.com - The Online eBook Marketplace

SHARING STRICTLY PROHIBITED - For personal use of the licensed account only - See MixCache.com Terms of Use.

CHAPTER ONE: The Pre-Crisis Design: From Maastricht to Monetary Union

The idea of a single European currency, a tangible symbol of economic and political integration, had a long and winding history before it became a reality. It wasn't merely an economist's neat solution to transactional costs, but a deeply political project, born from post-war aspirations for peace and prosperity. The journey from the early musings of Jean Monnet to the launch of the euro involved intricate negotiations, grand bargains, and more than a few moments where the entire edifice seemed poised to crumble. This chapter delves into the formative years of the Eurozone's design, tracing the political choices and economic compromises that laid its foundations, and, perhaps, sowed the seeds of future crises.

The intellectual lineage of monetary union can be traced back to the Werner Report of 1970, which proposed a three-stage plan for achieving full economic and monetary union by 1980. This ambitious vision, however, ran aground amidst the turbulent economic waters of the 1970s – the collapse of Bretton Woods, the oil shocks, and divergent national responses to inflation. The "snake in the tunnel" mechanism, an early attempt at exchange rate coordination, struggled against these forces, highlighting the difficulty of maintaining fixed parities without deeper economic convergence and political commitment. The political will simply wasn't robust enough to withstand the economic centrifugal forces.

SAMPLE COPY

By the late 1980s, the political landscape had shifted. The successful operation of the European Monetary System (EMS) and its Exchange Rate Mechanism (ERM) had fostered a degree of stability, particularly for countries aligning their policies with Germany's Bundesbank. More significantly, the prospect of German reunification stirred anxieties among its European partners, especially France. A stronger, united Germany at the heart of Europe raised questions about the balance of power within the nascent European Union. For then-French President François Mitterrand, binding Germany more tightly into a European framework, particularly through a shared currency, was a strategic imperative. This geopolitical consideration provided a powerful impetus for rekindling the monetary union project.

The Delors Report, published in 1989, picked up where Werner left off, outlining a new three-stage plan for Economic and Monetary Union (EMU). This report, chaired by then-President of the European Commission Jacques Delors, was more pragmatic and detailed, emphasizing the need for both monetary and economic policy coordination. Crucially, it acknowledged that a single currency would require not just a common monetary policy, but also convergence in fiscal policies and structural reforms. This

Sample from MixCache.com - The Online eBook Marketplace

SHARING STRICTLY PROHIBITED - For personal use of the licensed account only - See MixCache.com Terms of Use.

recognition of the intertwined nature of monetary and fiscal policy, however, would become a source of enduring tension in the years to come.

The Treaty on European Union, signed in Maastricht in 1992, was the culmination of these efforts. It set out the legal and institutional framework for EMU, establishing the European Central Bank (ECB) and defining the criteria for countries to join the single currency – the now infamous "Maastricht criteria." These criteria aimed to ensure a degree of macroeconomic stability and convergence among prospective members, covering inflation, long-term interest rates, exchange rate stability, and, most critically, public finances. A government deficit not exceeding 3% of GDP and public debt not exceeding 60% of GDP became the benchmarks against which aspiring members would be judged.

The negotiation of the Maastricht Treaty was a fiercely contested affair, revealing deep divisions among member states. Germany, with its strong tradition of monetary stability embodied by the Bundesbank, was reluctant to give up its cherished Deutschmark. Its insistence on a highly independent central bank, a strong stability culture, and strict fiscal rules was a condition for its participation. Other countries, particularly those with a history of higher inflation and less fiscal discipline, viewed these demands with some apprehension, fearing a loss of economic sovereignty and a potential for deflationary bias. The compromises reached reflected these competing national interests and institutional philosophies.

One of the most significant compromises was the design of the ECB. Germany successfully pushed for a central bank modeled closely on the Bundesbank: fiercely independent, with a primary mandate for price stability. This focus on low inflation was enshrined in the Treaty, reflecting a consensus, particularly among northern European countries, that monetary policy should be insulated from political interference. The ECB was given the sole responsibility for setting monetary policy for the Eurozone, a powerful institution with a narrow, yet critical, objective. Its independence was seen as a bulwark against future inflationary pressures and a guarantor of the euro's credibility.

However, while monetary policy was centralized, fiscal policy largely remained in national hands. This created what many would later identify as a fundamental design flaw: a single currency area without a corresponding centralized fiscal authority. To mitigate the risks of irresponsible national fiscal policies undermining the stability of the entire union, the Maastricht Treaty introduced the Stability and Growth Pact (SGP). The SGP aimed to enforce the deficit and debt criteria outlined in the Treaty, with a system of surveillance and potential sanctions for non-compliant countries. The idea was to impose market-like discipline through rules, rather than relying on a centralized fiscal capacity that was politically unpalatable.

The architects of Maastricht graved a careful balance between supranational monetary

SAMPLE COPY

SHARING STRICTLY PROHIBITED - For personal use of the licensed account only - See MixCache.com Terms of Use.

authority and national fiscal autonomy. This balance was predicated on the belief that market discipline, combined with the rules of the SGP, would be sufficient to prevent excessive fiscal imbalances. The "no bailout" clause (Article 125 TFEU), explicitly stating that neither the Union nor any member state would be liable for the commitments of another, was intended to reinforce this market discipline. The assumption was that bond markets would punish fiscally irresponsible governments, forcing them to rein in spending long before their debt became a systemic risk to the Eurozone.

Yet, even as the Treaty was being ratified, some voices expressed concerns about the sustainability of this arrangement. Economists pointed to the potential for asymmetric shocks – economic downturns that affect some member states more severely than others – and the absence of a robust fiscal transfer mechanism or a common unemployment insurance scheme to cushion their impact. Political scientists worried about the democratic legitimacy of a powerful, unelected central bank and the accountability deficit inherent in a system where crucial economic decisions were increasingly made at the European level, often behind closed doors.

The convergence criteria, while intended to ensure a smooth entry into the Eurozone, also had significant political implications. Countries eager to join embarked on often painful austerity programs and structural reforms to meet the targets. This process sometimes involved politically unpopular decisions, but the allure of the single currency, with its promise of economic stability, lower transaction costs, and increased trade, provided a powerful incentive. For some, joining the euro was also seen as a way to "lock in" reforms and prevent future backsliding on fiscal discipline.

SAMPLE COPY

The period leading up to the euro’s launch in 1999 was a fascinating display of political will overcoming considerable economic and technical challenges. National currencies, deeply intertwined with national identity and sovereignty, were to be replaced by a new, shared symbol of European integration. The logistical hurdles were immense, from printing billions of banknotes and minting coins to recalibrating banking systems and price tags across an entire continent. Yet, the political commitment to the project, driven by both economic rationale and geopolitical considerations, remained strong.

The Euro’s launch was celebrated as a monumental achievement, a tangible demonstration of European unity and ambition. It was seen as the logical next step in the journey towards an "ever closer union," fulfilling a vision that had animated European leaders for decades. The initial years of the Eurozone were characterized by relatively benign economic conditions, and the single currency quickly became an integral part of daily life for millions of Europeans. The expected benefits – lower interest rates for some, reduced exchange rate volatility, and enhanced trade – seemed to materialize, at least for a time.

Sample from MixCache.com - The Online eBook Marketplace

SHARING STRICTLY PROHIBITED - For personal use of the licensed account only - See MixCache.com Terms of Use.

However, beneath the surface of this apparent success, the inherent tensions and unresolved design flaws of the Maastricht framework lingered. The "one size fits all" monetary policy of the ECB, while appropriate for the Eurozone as a whole, could prove ill-suited for individual member states experiencing divergent economic cycles. The absence of a strong centralized fiscal capacity meant that national governments remained solely responsible for responding to economic downturns, albeit constrained by the SGP. The "no bailout" clause, while intended to promote fiscal discipline, would be severely tested when a member state faced the brink of default.

The design of the Eurozone was, in essence, a grand political compromise. It was a testament to the ability of European leaders to transcend national interests for a common goal, but it was also a reflection of the limits of that ambition. The architects built a magnificent cathedral, but perhaps left a few structural beams untended, hoping that future prosperity and political goodwill would compensate for any inherent weaknesses. These weaknesses, however, would become starkly apparent when the global financial crisis struck, revealing the cracks in the foundations of the monetary union and unleashing the "crisis currency" that this book explores. The initial period of calm masked the deep-seated vulnerabilities that the architects had either overlooked, or consciously chosen to defer for a later generation to address.

SAMPLE COPY

Sample from MixCache.com - The Online eBook Marketplace

SHARING STRICTLY PROHIBITED - For personal use of the licensed account only - See MixCache.com Terms of Use.

This is a sample preview. Purchase the book to read the full content.

Visit MixCache.com to purchase the complete book.

SAMPLE COPY

Sample from MixCache.com - The Online eBook Marketplace