

Revolt and Resistance

MixCache.com

Table of Contents

- **Introduction**
- **Chapter 1** The Moral Economy of the Crowd: Grain Riots and Everyday Resistance
- **Chapter 2** Hill Peoples and Frontier Autonomies: Zomia and the Edges of States
- **Chapter 3** Social Bandits: Outlaws, Avengers, and the Politics of Honor
- **Chapter 4** Enclosure and the Broken Commons: Peasant Revolts in Early Modern Europe
- **Chapter 5** Slave Rebellions and Maroon Republics in the Atlantic World
- **Chapter 6** Millenarian Horizons: Peasant Prophecies and Revolt in Asia
- **Chapter 7** Spirits of Insurrection: Prophets, Oaths, and Anti-Colonial Uprisings in Africa
- **Chapter 8** Subaltern Soldiers: The 1857 Uprising and the Limits of Company Rule
- **Chapter 9** Forest Wars: Santhal, Bhil, and Adivasi Resistance to the Colonial State
- **Chapter 10** Plantation Worlds: Labor, Flight, and Revolt across Sugar and Rubber Frontiers
- **Chapter 11** Machines and Masters: Luddism and the Birth of Worker Direct Action
- **Chapter 12** From Shop Floor to Union Hall: Organizing the Industrial Working Class
- **Chapter 13** Rails, Ports, and General Strikes: Shutting Down the Arteries of Empire
- **Chapter 14** Women at the Barricades: Gendered Labors of Revolt and Care
- **Chapter 15** Cities of Refuge: Migrant Networks, Shantytowns, and Urban Uprisings
- **Chapter 16** Peasants against Empire: Vietnam, Algeria, and the Rural Insurgent
- **Chapter 17** Land to the Tiller: Agrarian Revolutions in Mexico, China, and Bolivia
- **Chapter 18** Extraction Frontiers: Miners, Oil Workers, and the Politics of the Subsoil
- **Chapter 19** Green and Red: Ecology, Peasants, and the Defense of the Commons
- **Chapter 20** Pastoralists in Revolt: Sahel, Horn of Africa, and Steppe Resistance
- **Chapter 21** Indigenous Sovereignities: From Mapuche Mobilizations to Standing Rock
- **Chapter 22** Debt, Bread, and Structural Adjustment: The Politics of Austerity

- Protests
- **Chapter 23** Platforms and Picket Lines: Workers and Farmers in the Digital Economy
 - **Chapter 24** The Zapatista Moment: Autonomy, Dignity, and Global Solidarity
 - **Chapter 25** After the Uprising: Memory, Repression, and the Remaking of States
-

Introduction

This book begins with a simple observation that has unsettled rulers for millennia: ordinary people have never been politically quiet. Peasants have walked off their fields, workers have downed their tools, and tribal communities have closed mountain passes and forest paths to imperial surveyors. Revolt and resistance are not interruptions of history but its driving pulse—episodes through which the social roots of political change are revealed and the limits of state power are exposed. By centering the marginalized actors who so often disappear from official archives, this volume traces how the governed have forced governors to account for hunger, dignity, land, labor, and life itself.

Our approach ranges from premodern rebellions to colonial and postcolonial protests, asking what compels people with little formal power to confront those who monopolize law, land, and lethal force. Sometimes the spark is immediate—a tax hike, a corrupt overseer, a famine. At other times, insurgency grows out of slow violence: enclosures that sever people from the commons, debt regimes that bind generations, mines that poison water, or plantations that regulate the body from dawn to dusk. Revolt is only the most visible crest of a larger tide of resistance that includes flight, rumor, sabotage, refusal, and the careful construction of alternative moral orders. These everyday practices rarely earn headlines, yet they form the infrastructure that sustains uprisings when they come.

The book pays close attention to social banditry, maroonage, and other “illicit” forms of politics that thrive in borderlands, forests, and highlands—spaces where the reach of states and empires thins. Outlaws, runaways, and hill peoples are not merely fugitives; they are political thinkers and doers who articulate rival claims to justice, honor, and autonomy. Their camps and settlements are laboratories of alternative sovereignty, and their stories illuminate how geography, kinship, and ritual can be mobilized to defend communities against predators with official titles.

Industrialization and empire reorganized the terrain of struggle, concentrating workers in factories, ports, and railways while extending colonial frontiers into forests and savannas. New forms of collective action emerged: clandestine cells, mutual-aid societies, unions, and general strikes capable of stopping the circulation of goods and

the flow of profits. Women's unpaid and underpaid labors—on shop floors, in fields, and in kitchens—proved indispensable, even as they were written out of many narratives. This book restores those labors to the center of the story, showing how care work, provisioning, and clandestine communication made revolt materially possible.

Colonial rule multiplied grievances and sharpened contradictions. Forced labor, land alienation, and racialized hierarchies fueled insurrections that drew on prophets and oaths as readily as on rifles and pamphlets. From plantation zones to mining camps, indigenous and subordinated peoples forged alliances that cut across languages and religions, transforming anticolonial dreams into disciplined campaigns. Yet the end of empire did not end extraction. Postcolonial states inherited both the ambitions and anxieties of their predecessors, and citizens often met them with a repertoire of occupations, strikes, and blockades that tested the promises of independence.

The late twentieth and early twenty-first centuries introduced new pressures—structural adjustment programs, financial shocks, climate crises, and the digitization of work. Bread riots, anti-austerity mobilizations, landless movements, and indigenous campaigns for water and territory reassembled older traditions of revolt in contemporary form. Digital tools accelerated coordination, but grievances remained stubbornly material: wages, land, forests, and futures. Across these pages, readers will see how people stitch together local solidarities and transnational networks, how they practice democracy before and beyond the ballot box, and how they survive the counterinsurgencies that so often follow.

Methodologically, the book braids official records with oral histories, folk songs, court transcripts, and union circulars. It asks readers to hear the silences in the archive and to read against the grain of documents written by the powerful. We neither romanticize revolt nor reduce it to pathology. Uprisings can reproduce hierarchies even as they challenge them; movements can victimize even as they emancipate. Taking these tensions seriously allows us to understand not just why people resist but how they reason, argue, and disagree about the worlds they are trying to build.

The chapters that follow move across continents and centuries, but they are united by recurring questions. What counts as victory for the poor and dispossessed, and on what timeline? How do communities balance immediate needs with long horizons of transformation? Which tactics travel—petitions, boycotts, strikes, occupations—and which remain rooted in particular ecologies and cosmologies? Most crucially, what do these struggles reveal about the state: its powers of extraction and surveillance, its dependence on the governed, and its enduring vulnerabilities?

Revolt and Resistance invites readers to think with, not merely about, those who have unsettled the powerful. Their experiments in autonomy and dignity do not offer a single program; they offer a repertoire. By learning how people have defended life and

livelihood against states and empires, we also learn how political possibility expands—sometimes suddenly, often slowly—through the collective courage of those who refuse to be quiet.

CHAPTER ONE: The Moral Economy of the Crowd: Grain Riots and Everyday Resistance

Before the age of grand manifestos and organized labor unions, the most common form of popular protest revolved around the most basic human need: food. For millennia, the availability and price of grain, the staple of life for the vast majority, was not merely an economic issue but a deeply moral one. When the delicate balance of this moral economy was disrupted, often by merchants seeking profit or authorities turning a blind eye, the "crowd" – a seemingly spontaneous assembly of ordinary people – often took matters into its own hands. These were not simply acts of desperation, but often highly ritualized performances of justice, aimed at restoring a perceived equilibrium.

The concept of the "moral economy," famously articulated by historian E.P. Thompson, posits that pre-industrial common people adhered to a shared understanding of economic justice rooted in custom and tradition, not the abstract principles of a free market. In this view, certain necessities, especially food, were considered a right, not a commodity to be exploited for maximum gain. When this unwritten social contract was breached—when prices soared beyond what was considered "fair," or when grain was hoarded for speculative purposes—the community felt justified in intervening. This intervention often took the form of the grain riot.

Grain riots were rarely chaotic free-for-alls. Instead, they often followed a discernible pattern, suggesting a collective understanding of legitimate action. Women, often seen as the primary providers and protectors of the household, frequently played leading roles in these disturbances. They would confront merchants, block shipments, and sometimes even seize grain, selling it at what they deemed a "just price" and returning the proceeds to the owners. This wasn't theft in their eyes; it was price regulation enacted by the community. These actions highlight a crucial aspect of early resistance: the blurring of lines between what authorities deemed "criminal" and what the populace considered "just."

Consider the pre-revolutionary French countryside. The specter of famine was a constant companion, and the slightest rumor of grain speculation could ignite widespread panic and protest. Local markets were the nerve centers of these communities, and any disruption to their normal functioning was keenly felt. When

harvests were poor, or when merchants attempted to bypass local markets to sell grain at higher prices elsewhere, the community's wrath could be swift. The targets were often not just the merchants, but also millers, bakers, and local officials perceived to be complicit in the exploitation.

These acts of resistance weren't always violent, at least not in the sense of direct physical harm to individuals. The violence was often directed at property – sacks of grain slashed open, carts overturned, and occasionally, granaries broken into. The objective was to disperse the hoarded grain, to make it available to the community at a reasonable price, and to send a clear message to those who sought to profit from scarcity. The crowd's actions were a direct challenge to the authority of the state to regulate the market, asserting a communal right to subsistence over the property rights of individuals.

The authorities, for their part, often struggled to comprehend these uprisings. From their perspective, these were simply lawless mobs, driven by hunger and ignorance. They failed to grasp the underlying moral framework that animated the protesters. Repression was often the first response, with soldiers dispatched to quell the disturbances and ringleaders arrested and punished. Yet, even in repression, there was often an implicit acknowledgment of the crowd's power. Rulers knew that pushing their subjects too far on the issue of food could lead to widespread instability.

Beyond the dramatic eruptions of grain riots, everyday resistance formed the bedrock of popular discontent. This "everyday resistance," as described by James C. Scott, comprised a myriad of subtle, often invisible acts of defiance that allowed subordinates to push back against the demands of the powerful without direct confrontation. These were the "weapons of the weak" – foot-dragging, pilfering, feigned ignorance, sabotage, and the spreading of rumors. These seemingly minor acts, often dismissed as laziness or inefficiency, were in fact deliberate strategies to reduce exploitation and assert a degree of autonomy.

For peasants under the yoke of feudal lords or colonial administrators, open rebellion was a dangerous gamble, often met with brutal retaliation. Everyday resistance offered a safer, yet still effective, means of expressing dissent and negotiating the terms of their subjugation. A peasant might intentionally work slowly, making it difficult for the lord to extract maximum labor. A servant might "accidentally" break a valuable item, subtly disrupting the household. These acts, though seemingly insignificant individually, collectively chipped away at the power and profits of the ruling class.

The spreading of rumors, for instance, played a crucial role in shaping public opinion and undermining authority. In societies with limited access to formal news, rumors served as an alternative information network, often circulating grievances and fostering solidarity. A whispered story about a corrupt official or a greedy merchant

could quickly travel through a village, fueling resentment and preparing the ground for more overt forms of resistance. These informal channels of communication were vital in building a collective consciousness among the oppressed.

Sabotage, while more direct, was often disguised as incompetence or accident. Tools might be "lost" or broken, machinery might mysteriously malfunction, crops might be damaged in ways that were difficult to prove intentional. These acts served to disrupt production, reduce output, and impose costs on those in power, all while minimizing the risk of direct punishment for the perpetrators. The ambiguity of these actions was their strength; it made it difficult for authorities to identify and punish the resisters.

Even humor and mockery served as potent forms of everyday resistance. Songs, jokes, and caricatures that ridiculed the powerful could deflate their authority and foster a sense of shared identity among the oppressed. These forms of cultural resistance, often performed in private spaces, allowed people to express their frustration and reaffirm their dignity in the face of dehumanizing power structures. The laughter of the subordinate was a quiet but persistent challenge to the seriousness and self-importance of the ruling class.

The "flight" of peasants from oppressive conditions was another powerful, albeit often desperate, form of resistance. Abandoning one's land and community was a drastic measure, but it was also a direct refusal to participate in an exploitative system. For lords and landowners, the loss of labor was a significant blow, and the threat of flight often forced them to moderate their demands. These runaway peasants sometimes formed new communities in remote areas, becoming the "maroons" that will be explored in a later chapter, creating alternative spaces of autonomy beyond the reach of state power.

The very act of maintaining traditional customs and practices in the face of new laws or demands from the state could also be a form of resistance. When authorities sought to impose new taxes, land regulations, or cultural norms, the adherence to established ways of life became a quiet assertion of cultural autonomy. This wasn't always a conscious political act, but in its refusal to conform, it often served to slow down or subtly undermine the implementation of new policies. The persistence of local traditions became a stubborn barrier to total control.

These myriad forms of everyday resistance, while often lacking the dramatic flair of a full-scale rebellion, were vital in shaping the relationship between the powerful and the powerless. They were a constant negotiation, a persistent pushing back against the boundaries of acceptable exploitation. They created a climate of low-level attrition that kept rulers on edge and often forced concessions that might not have been granted otherwise. This continuous, subterranean struggle built the resilience and shared understanding that could, at times, erupt into more visible and transformative movements.

The moral economy of the crowd and the tactics of everyday resistance highlight a fundamental truth about power: it is never absolute. Even in the most repressive regimes, ordinary people find ways to assert their agency, to defend their livelihoods, and to articulate their own vision of justice. These early forms of resistance, seemingly fragmented and spontaneous, laid the groundwork for the more organized and ideologically driven movements that would emerge in later centuries. They demonstrate that the desire for dignity, fairness, and a basic right to subsistence has been a constant driving force in human history, long before the advent of modern political ideologies.

The "crowd," far from being an irrational mob, often acted with a sophisticated, albeit unwritten, understanding of what constituted legitimate social and economic behavior. Their actions were a direct challenge to nascent capitalist principles that prioritized profit over community welfare. In their demands for a "just price" and their willingness to intervene in the market, they were articulating a different vision of society, one where human needs took precedence over abstract economic laws. This moral framework, often dismissed by elites as backward, was a powerful force for social cohesion and a wellspring of resistance.

The legacy of these early struggles is enduring. While the specific targets and contexts have changed, the underlying principles of resisting exploitation, demanding fairness, and asserting communal rights against unchecked power continue to resonate. The whispers of rumor, the deliberate inefficiencies, and the collective assertion of a moral right to subsistence laid the groundwork for the more complex and organized forms of resistance that would define subsequent eras. They remind us that even without formal organizations or written doctrines, ordinary people possess a profound capacity for collective action and a deep-seated commitment to justice.

This is a sample preview. Purchase the book to read the full content.

Visit MixCache.com to purchase the complete book.